

SOME NON-AMENABLE GROUPS

ADITI KAR AND GRAHAM A. NIBLO

ABSTRACT. We generalise a result of R. Thomas to establish the non-vanishing of the first ℓ^2 Betti number for a class of finitely generated groups.

In this note we give the following generalisation of a result of Richard Thomas [8].

Theorem 1. *Let G be a finitely generated group given by the presentation*

$$\langle x_1, \dots, x_d : u_1^{m_1}, \dots, u_r^{m_r} \rangle$$

such that each relator u_i has order m_i in G .

- (1) *If G is finite then $1 - d + \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{m_i} > 0$ and $|G| \geq \frac{1}{1 - d + \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{m_i}}$.*
- (2) *If the first ℓ^2 Betti number $\beta_1^2(G)$ of G is zero, then*

$$1 - d + \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{m_i} \geq 0.$$

In particular, the case when all the exponents m_i in the presentation are equal to 1 yields the well known observation that when the first ℓ^2 Betti number is zero the deficiency of the presentation $d - r$ must be at most 1. The vanishing of the first ℓ^2 Betti number of a group G holds for example if G is finite, if it satisfies Kazhdan's property (T) or if it admits an infinite normal amenable subgroup (in particular if it is infinite amenable). We refer to [4] for other interesting examples. We obtain as a corollary:

Corollary 2. *Let G be a finitely generated group given by the presentation*

$$\langle x_1, \dots, x_d : u_1^{m_1}, \dots, u_r^{m_r} \rangle$$

such that each relator u_i has order m_i in G . If $d > 1 + \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{m_i}$, then G is infinite, does not satisfy Kazhdan's property (T) and has no amenable infinite normal subgroups.

Thomas established the inequality in (1) above by providing a simple but elegant computation of the dimension of the \mathbb{F}_2 -vector space of 1-cycles of the cellular chain complex of the Cayley graph of G (Thomas refers to this space as the cycle space of Γ). If Γ has d edges and v vertices then the dimension of this vector space is $d - v + 1$. An alternative approach, yielding

Research partially supported by EPSRC grant EP/F031947/1.

information about the classical first Betti number of G and its finite index subgroups is explored by Allcock in [1].

We generalise this idea to give the additional inequality in (2) above by using elementary observations about the ℓ^2 Betti numbers β_i^2 of the orbihedral presentation 2-complex of G . For an introduction to ℓ^2 Betti numbers, we refer the reader to [3]. The first ℓ^2 Betti number vanishes for all finite groups. Cheeger and Gromov have shown that if a group G is amenable then $\beta_1^2(G) = 0$ [2, Theorem 0.2]. More generally, $\beta_1^2(G)$ is zero for any group G which contains an infinite normal amenable subgroup.

We realised while writing this note that Theorem 1 can be derived from the results of Peterson and Thom, [7], however our method is independent of theirs and provides a short and rather elementary proof of the result.

Remark 3. Equation (3) below yields the inequality $\beta_1^2(G) \geq \frac{1}{|G|} + d - 1 - \sum_i \frac{1}{m_i}$ from [7]. Here, $|G|$ denotes the size of G and $\frac{1}{|G|}$ is understood to be zero when G is infinite.

Finitely generated but not finitely presented groups: Lück has defined ℓ^2 Betti numbers for any countable discrete group. The notion agrees with the cellular ℓ^2 Betti numbers for finitely presented groups and the basic properties including a generalised Euler-Poincaré formula for G -CW complexes may be found in Chapter 6 of [6]. Working in this context and arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain the following generalisation.

Theorem 4. Suppose a group G is given by the presentation

$$G = \langle x_1, \dots, x_d : u_i^{m_i}, i \in I \rangle$$

where I is a countable set and each relator u_i has order m_i in G . If $\sum_{i \in I} \frac{1}{m_i}$ converges then $\beta_1^2(G) \geq \frac{1}{|G|} + d - 1 - \sum_{i \in I} \frac{1}{m_i}$. In particular if $\beta_1^2(G) = 0$ then $\sum_{i \in I} \frac{1}{m_i} - d + 1 \geq 0$.

Before we embark on the proof of Theorem 1, we need a short lemma which says that the orbihedral Euler characteristic of a G -CW complex Y may be computed from its ℓ^2 Betti numbers. The lemma is well known and may be found in [6].

Lemma 5. [6, Theorem 6.80] If G acts on a connected CW complex \tilde{Y} with finite quotient Y such that stabilisers of cells are finite, then the ℓ^2 -Euler characteristic of Y is equal to the orbihedral Euler characteristic of Y . More precisely, if for each i , Σ_i is a choice of representatives for the orbits of i -cells in \tilde{Y} and the stabiliser of a cell σ in G is written G_σ , then

$$(1) \quad \sum_i (-1)^i \beta_i^2(Y) = \sum_i (-1)^i \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_i} \frac{1}{|G_\sigma|}$$

We now proceed with the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1 . Let G be a group given by the presentation $\langle x_1, \dots, x_d : u_1^{m_1}, \dots, u_r^{m_r} \rangle$ where each relator u_i has order m_i in G . The orbihedral presentation 2-complex of G , which we will denote by \mathcal{P} , has one vertex and d edges forming a bouquet of d circles. Identifying each of the circles with one of the generators x_i we identify the fundamental group of this bouquet with the free group on $\{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$. Attached to this are r discs, $\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_r$. For each $i = 1, \dots, r$, the disc \mathcal{D}_i is endowed with a cone point of cone angle $\frac{2\pi}{m_i}$ and its boundary is attached by a degree 1 map along the loop in the bouquet of circles corresponding to the element u_i .

Attaching the corresponding stabilisers to cells we obtain, in the language of Haefliger [5], a developable complex of groups, meaning that the orbihedral universal cover X of \mathcal{P} exists. In fact, X has a simple description in terms of the Cayley graph \mathcal{C} of G . The 1-skeleton of the orbihedral universal cover is the Cayley graph of G with respect to the generating set $\{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$, while the 2-skeleton is obtained from the 2-skeleton of the topological universal cover of the presentation 2-complex by collapsing stacks of relator discs having common boundaries. Specifically, the relator $u_i^{m_i}$ corresponds to a loop γ_i in \mathcal{P} bounding a disc and there is a unique lift $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ of γ_i based at the identity vertex in \mathcal{C} . In the topological universal cover of the presentation 2-complex there are additional copies of this disc (glued along the same loop) based at the elements $u_i, \dots, u_i^{m_i-1}$ and the action of the subgroup $\langle u_i \rangle$ permutes these discs so that each has trivial stabiliser. In contrast, these copies are identified in the orbihedral cover to give a single disc and it is preserved by the element u_i . The hypothesis that u_i has order m_i controls the order of the cell stabiliser.

We now apply the identity in (1) to our complex X . The action of G on the vertices and the edges of X is both free and transitive. On the other hand, by hypothesis, the stabiliser of a lift of a 2-cell \mathcal{D}_i has order m_i . Hence, $\beta_0^2(\mathcal{P}) - \beta_1^2(\mathcal{P}) + \beta_2^2(\mathcal{P}) = 1 - d + \sum_i \frac{1}{m_i}$. We also know that $\beta_0^2(\mathcal{P}) = \frac{1}{|G|}$ where $\frac{1}{|G|}$ is understood to be zero when G is infinite. Therefore,

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{|G|} - \beta_1^2(\mathcal{P}) + \beta_2^2(\mathcal{P}) = 1 - d + \sum_i \frac{1}{m_i}.$$

Finally we remark that the first ℓ^2 Betti number of the group G may be computed as the first ℓ^2 Betti number of the orbihedral presentation complex used above. By definition, $\beta_1^2(G)$ is the von Neumann dimension of the first ℓ^2 homology group of Y with coefficients in the von-Neumann algebra of G , where Y is the universal cover of the (topological) presentation 2 complex for G . Since both X and Y are simply connected we deduce from Theorem 6.54(3) of [6] that $\beta_1^2(G) = \beta_1^2(\mathcal{P})$. Therefore, equation (2) becomes

$$(3) \quad \frac{1}{|G|} - \beta_1^2(G) + \beta_2^2(\mathcal{P}) = 1 - d + \sum_i \frac{1}{m_i}.$$

Now assume that $\beta_1^2(G) = 0$. Since $\beta_2^2(\mathcal{P}) \geq 0$, we get the identity we are looking for, namely

$$1 - d + \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{m_i} \geq \frac{1}{|G|}.$$

In particular, if G is finite, then the ℓ^2 cohomology of G is just the group cohomology with real coefficients, and this vanishes so we obtain Thomas's result that $1 - d + \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{m_i} > 0$ and $|G| \geq \frac{1}{1 - d + \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{m_i}}$. On the other hand, if G is infinite and its first ℓ^2 Betti number is zero, in particular if G is an infinite amenable group, then we obtain the inequality $1 - d + \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{m_i} \geq 0$, as required. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Allcock, Spotting Infinite Groups, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 125 (1999) 39-42.
- [2] J. Cheeger and M. Gromov, L^2 -cohomology and group cohomology, Topology 25 (1986), 189-215.
- [3] B. Eckmann, Introduction to ℓ^2 -methods in topology : reduced ℓ^2 -homology, harmonic chains, ℓ^2 Betti numbers. Notes prepared by Guido Mislin. Israel J. Math. 117 (2000), 183-219.
- [4] T. Fernos, Relative property (T) and the vanishing of the first ℓ^2 Betti number, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin Volume 17, Number 5 (2010), 851-857.
- [5] A. Haefliger, Complexes of groups and orbihedra, pages 504-540 in "Group theory from a geometrical viewpoint Trieste 1990", World Scientific (1991).
- [6] W. Lück L^2 -invariants: theory and applications to geometry and K -theory. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], 44. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [7] J. Peterson and A. Thom, Group cocycles and the ring of affiliated operators, Inventiones mathematicæ, Volume 185, Number 3, 561-592, DOI: 10.1007/s00222-011-0310-2.
- [8] R. Thomas, Cayley graphs and group presentations, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. (1988) 103, 385, DOI: 10.1017/S0305004100064999.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHAMPTON, SOUTHAMPTON, SO17 1BJ, UK

E-mail address: A.Kar@soton.ac.uk, G.A.Niblo@soton.ac.uk